



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/273,448	03/22/1999	SHINGO OHKAWA	1185.1044/JD	7146

21171 7590 05/16/2003

STAAS & HALSEY LLP  
700 11TH STREET, NW  
SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

NGO, HUYEN LE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/273,448

Applicant(s)

OHKAWA, SHINGO

Examiner

Julie-Huyen L. Ngo

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13-22 and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

*(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.*

Claims 13-16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al. (US5963280A) in view of Oyama et al. (US5808708A) and further in view of Kurematsu et al. (US5816677A).

With respect to claim 13 and 25, Okuda et al. disclose (Fig. 4, col. 16 line 62 to col. 17 line 17) a liquid crystal display (LCD) including a liquid crystal display panel and a surface light source device of side light type for backlighting of the liquid crystal display panel, said surface light source device comprising:

- a first guide plate;
- a first primary light source 17 with red color disposed beside the first guide plate;
- a second guide plate;
- a second primary light source 8 with blue color disposed beside the second guide plate;
- said first guide plate having two major faces to provide a first emission face and a first back face and having a minor face to provide a first incidence end face;
- said second guide plate having two major faces to provide a second emission face and a second back face and having a minor face to provide a second incidence end face;
- said first guide plate and said second guide plate being laminatedly arranged so that said second back face extends along said first emission face;

- said first incidence end face and said second incidence end face being located opposite to each other across said laminatedly arranged guide plates, wherein
- a light control member (the scattering layer 14) to control directivity of output illumination light is disposed along said second emission face.

However, Okuda et al. fail to disclose that said light control member is a prismatic light control member having the characteristics as recited in claim 13; and a driving circuit to drive the first primary light source and the second primary light source.

Although Okuda et al. do not clearly disclose a driving circuit to drive the first primary light source and the second primary light source. One of ordinary skill in the art would have known that there must be a driver circuit to drive/control the light sources for adjusting the intensity of output light from the light source(s) or for selectively outputting a specific color display, as evidenced by Oyama with the control circuit 16 for controlling the light sources 3 on the back surface of the light guiding plates 4a/14 (Figs. 2, 3 and 8, col. 1, lines 26-33, col. 7, lines 24-27 and col. 11, lines 26-28).

Therefore, It would have been obvious for Okuda LCD to employ a driver circuit such as the control circuit 16, as taught by Oyama, for controlling the first primary light source 17 and the second primary light source 8.

Kurematsu et al. teach (Fig. 18) forming a prismatic light control member 31 with a great number of pairs of first and second slopes to control directivity of output illumination light, said prismatic light controller member is disposed along an emission face so that the first slopes mainly receive light from the first primary light source 1 and the second slopes mainly receive light from the second primary light source 2 for improving the frontal illumination performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for Okuda in view of Oyama LCD to employ a prismatic light control member 31 with a great number of pairs of first and second slopes to control directivity of output illumination light, and be disposed along

the second emission face so that said first slopes mainly receive light from said first primary light source 17 and said second slopes mainly receive light from said second primary light source 8 for improving the frontal illumination performance, as taught by Kurematsu et al.

With respect to claim 14, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to selectively turning off one of the first and second primary light sources to adjust the intensity of light output or for selecting a specific color display. The driver circuit in Okuda device would obviously capable of turning off only one of the first and second primary light sources.

With respect to claims 15 and 16, Okuda et al. teach (Fig. 4) that said first and second guide plates have wedge-shaped cross sections so that said first and second incidence end faces are located at thicker ends of the cross sections, respectively.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al. in view of Oyama et al. and Kurematsu et al. as applied above to claims 13-16, and further in view of Ohkawa (US 5997148).

Ohkawa teaches (figs. 1 and 2 and col. 5, line 32-col. 6 line 14) forming a great number of projection rows 102 running approximately at right angles with respect to the incidence end face 12A on the lower edge/back face 12B of a guide plate 12 for preventing the reflective appearance have a possibility to influence the directivity of characteristic of emission light from the emission surface 12C of light guide 12. Doing so would suppress the appearance of bright light entering the vicinity of the lower edge EI and provides output light having high uniformity.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form a great number of projection rows running approximately at right angles with respect to the first incidence end face on the first back face of the first light guide of Okuda in view of Oyama and Kurematsu LCD for suppressing the appearance of bright light entering

the vicinity of the lower edge and provides output light having high uniformity, as taught by Ohkawa.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda in view of Oyama and Kurematsu et al. as applied above to claims 13, and in further view of Arai (US6049649).

With respect to claim 21, a prism sheet (light control member) is conventionally used to modify the preferential propagation direction such as frontal direction of output light in a surface light source device such as the light control members 4/14 disclosed by Arai (figures 3,4 and 11-18). This light control member is provided with slopes on the inner reflection surface facing the emission surface of the guide light to modify the directivity of illumination output light from the light guide and for uniform illuminating of the output light.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a light control member having the slopes provided on the inner reflection surface, as taught by Arai, in Okuda LCD in view of Oyama and Kurematsu to modify the directivity of illumination output light so that illumination output light originated from any one of the first and second primary light source is directed to the frontal direction with respect to the second emission face.

With respect to claim 22, the light control member employed in Okuda LCD in view of Oyama, Kurematsu and Arai, as applied to claim 21 above, would obviously has an inner face provided with a great number of projection rows running approximately parallel with respect to the second incidence end face, wherein each of said projection rows including a pair of first and second slopes for modifying the directivity of illumination output light from the second emission surface of the second guide plate.

### ***Response to Arguments***

In response to Applicant's argument that the applied references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., *a prismatic light control member provided with a great number of pairs of first and second slopes to control directivity of output illumination light, said prismatic light controller member is disposed along an emission face so that the first slopes mainly receive light from the first primary light source and the second slopes mainly receive light from the second primary light source*) are not originally recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2871

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-3508. The Examiner can normally be reached on T-Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Robert H. Kim can be reached at (703) 305-3492.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

May 13, 2003



*Julie-Huyen L. Ngo*

**Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2871**